# fifinddo

# Filtering $T_EX(t)$ Files by $T_EX$

Uwe Lück\*
April 4, 2010

FIDO, FIND! or: FIND FIDO! oder: FIFI, SUCH!

#### Abstract

fifinddo starts implementing parsing of plain text or TEX files using TEX, generalizing the philosophy behind docstrip, based on how TEX reads macro arguments. Rather than typsetting the edited input stream immediately, results are written to another file, in the first instance as input for TEX. Rather than presenting a "complete study" of a computer-scientific idea, it aims at practical applications. The main one at present is makedoc which removes certain comment marks from package files and inserts listing commands. Parsing macros are not defined anew at every input chunk, but once before a file is processed. This also allows for expandable sequences of replacements, e.g., with txt $\rightarrow$ TEX functionality. The method of testing for substrings is carefully discussed, revealing an earlier mistake (then) shared with substr.sty and LATEX's internal \in@.

## Contents

1	Introduction: The Gnome of the Aim						
	1.1	Parsing by T <sub>E</sub> X—are you mad?					
	1.2	Useful for					
		1.2.1 Comparisons					
	1.3	For insiders					

<sup>\*</sup>http://contact-ednotes.sty.de.vu

<b>2</b>	Preliminaries					
	2.1 Head of file (Legalese)	6				
	2.2 Format and package version	7				
	2.3 Category codes	7				
3	File handling	8				
4	Basic handling of substring conditionals	9				
	4.1 "Substring Theory"	9				
	4.2 Plan for proceeding	11				
	4.3 Meta-Setup	11				
	4.4 Setup for conditionals	12				
	4.5 Setup for sandboxes	12				
	4.6 Getting rid of the tildes	14				
	4.7 Calling conditionals	14				
	4.8 Copy jobs	15				
5	Programming tools					
	5.1 Tails of conditionals	15				
	5.2 Line counter	16				
	5.3 The "identity job" LEAVE	17				
6	Setup for expandable chains of replacements 1					
7	Leave package mode					
8	Pondered 19					
9	VERSION HISTORY 2					

### 1 Introduction: The Gnome of the Aim

## 1.1 Parsing by TeX—are you mad?

The package name fifinddo is a \listfiles-compatible abbreviation of 'file-finddo' (or think of 'if found do'). fifinddo implements (or aims at) general parsing (extracting, replacing [converting], expanding, ...) using TEX where texhax posters strongly urge to use sed, awk, or Perl. fifinddo's opposed rationales are:

• It works instantly on any T<sub>E</sub>X installation. (*Restrictions:* Some T<sub>E</sub>X versions \write certain hex codes for certain characters, cf. T<sub>E</sub>Xbook p. 45,

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  'file' possibly for "searching  $T_E\!X(t)$  files" (I don't remember my thoughts!), while there were requests for doing replacements on IATEX environments on texhax. However, the package might be enhanced in this direction ... so the name may be wrong ... but now I like it so much ... Or the reason was that results are written to a separate file, not typeset immediately.—Let me also mention that 'Fifi' (as the package name starts) is a kind of German equivalent to the "English" 'Fido', or may have been.

I have seen this with PCT<sub>E</sub>X. However, some applications of fifinddo are nothing but technical steps where you will read the result files rarely anyway.

- You can apply and customize it like any TEX macros, knowing just TEX (or even only the documentation of some user-friendly extension of fifinddo), without the need of learning any additional script language.
- The syntax of usual utilities (e.g., "wildcards") is sometimes difficult with  $T_{E\!X}$  files with all their backslashes, square brackets, stars, question marks ...

At least the first item is just the philosophy of the docstrip program, standard for installing T<sub>E</sub>X packages; and while I am typing this, I find at least 14 other similar packages in Jürgen Fenn's *Topic Index* of the T<sub>E</sub>X Catalogue:

http://mirror.ctan.org/help/Catalogue/bytopic.html#parsingfiles2

(Some of them may have been *reactance* to texhax and other postings urging not to try something like this; some seem just to be celebrations of the power of T<sub>F</sub>X—yes, celebrate!)

Actually, TEX's mechanism of collecting macro arguments is hard-wired parsing at quite a high level. LATEX hides this from "simple-minded" users by a convention not to use that full power of TEX for end-user macros. Internally, LATEX does use it in reading lists of options and file dates as well as to implement certain FOR- and WHILE-like loop programming structures. LATEX's \inC/\iffn@ construction is an implementation of a "\string1\rangle occurs in \string2\rangle" test. More packages seem to use this idea for extracting file informations, like texshade.

However, such packages don't make much ado about parsing, there seems to be no general setup mechanism as are presented by fifinddo. Indeed, tayloring parsing macros to specific applications may often be more efficient than a general approach.

#### 1.2 Useful for ...

My main application of fifinddo at present is typesetting documentations of packages using makedoc which removes certain percent marks and inserts listing commands, so you edit a package file with as little documentation markup as possible. This may be extended to other kinds of documents as an alternative to easylatex or wiki (the approach of which is dangerous and incompatible with certain other things).

I have used a similar own package txtproc successfully, where more features were implemented for practical purposes than are here so far, yet I don't like its implementation, want to improve it here. This package also *created batch files*, e.g., to remove temporary files. This could be used for package handling:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Click here!

<sup>3</sup>http://ctan.org/pkg/texshade

typset the documentation at the desired place in the tree, write the packages to another, write a batch file to remove files that are not needed any more after installation (cf. make).

I used txtproc also for *large-scale substitutions* (it had been decided to change the orthography in a part of a book). Other large-scale substitutions may be:

- inserting \index commands;
- inserting (soft) hyphenation commands near accents;
- manual umlaut-conversion.<sup>4</sup>
- typographical (or even orthographical) corrections (same mistake many times on each of hundreds of pages). You may turn ... into \$\dots\$ and etc. into etc.\ etc.<sup>5</sup> This could replace packages like easylatex, txt2latex, txt2tex in a customizable way, using, e.g., the "correct" hook from makedoc.sty as exemplified in mdoccorr.cfg (see examples section of makedoc.pdf). You should find fdtxttex.tpl, a fifinddo script to try or apply \MakeDocCorrectHook from mdoccorr.cfg, as well as fdtxttex.tex that runs a dialogue for the same purpose if you can manage to run it (WinShell?). You can then try to create your own \MakeDocCorrectHook. Section 6 provides setup for macros of this kind.
- as to easylatex again, *lists* could be detected and transformed into LATEX list commands. This could re-implement the lists functionality of wiki.sty that is somewhat dangerous.
- introduce your own *shorthands* to be expanded not as TEX macros, but by text substitution;

In certain cases, insertions deteriorate readability, hyphenation corrections even make text search difficult. It is therefore suggested to

- 1. keep editing the file without the insertions,
- 2. run the script (commands based on fifinddo) for insertions in the preamble of the main file ("\jobname.tex", maybe \input the script file) and
- 3. \input the result file within the document environment.

In general, differences to "manual" replacing by the substitution function of your *text editor* is that

• you first keep the original version,

extra space by a code line break.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>If you know the "names" of the encodings, Heiko Oberdiek's stringenc may be preferable. <sup>5</sup>But what when a new sentence is starting indeed? Well, cf. is an easier example.—etc. even showed a problem in niceverb. mdoccorr.cfg replaces etc. only, so you can keep the

<sup>6</sup>http://ctan.org/pkg/easylatex

<sup>7</sup>http://ctan.org/pkg/txt2latex

<sup>8</sup>http://ctan.org/pkg/txt2tex

- you can check the resulting file before you replace the original file by it,
- you can store the replacement script in order to check for mistakes at a later stage of your work,
- you can do all the replacements in one run (by one script to check for mistakes),
- you can store replacement scripts for future applications, so you needn't type the patterns and replacement strings anew.

#### 1.2.1 Comparisons

It should be noted (perhaps here) that the present approach to parsing is a quite simple one and in this respect much different to the string handling mechanisms of stringstrings,  $^9$  ted,  $^{10}$  xstrings $^{11}$  (as I understand them, perhaps also coolstr $^{12}$ ) which are much more powerful than what is offered here—but perhaps slow and for practical applications possibly replaceable by the present approach. Expandable replacement seems not to exist outside fifinddo (2009/04/13).

Much is missing, I know.<sup>13</sup> I am just implementing what I actually need and what could show that this approach is worth being pursued.

#### 1.3 For insiders

Warning: You may (at least at the present state of the work) have little success with this package, if you don't know about TEX's category codes and how TEX macros are defined. The package rather provides tools for package writers. You may, however, be able to run other packages which just load fifinddo as required background.

That fifinddo acts on " $T_EX(t)$ " files or so means that (at present) I think of applications on "plain text" files which will usually be  $T_EX$  input files. "At present" they are read without "special characters," so essentially category codes of input characters are either 11 ("letter") or 12 ("other"). This way some things are easier than with usual  $T_EX$  applications:

- 1. You can "look into" curly braces and "behind" comment characters.
- 2. There are exact or safe tests especially of *empty macro arguments* that are "expandable," i.e., they are "robust," don't need assignments, can be executed in \writeing and in \edef definitions. "Usually," the safe way to test emptiness is storing a macro argument as a macro, say \tempo, in order to test \ifx\tempo\empty where \empty has been defined by \def\empty{} in the format. But this requires some \def\tempo{#\(alpha\)}

<sup>9</sup>http://ctan.org/pkg/stringstrings

<sup>10</sup>http://ctan.org/pkg/ted

<sup>11</sup>http://ctan.org/pkg/xstrings

<sup>12</sup>http://ctan.org/pkg/coolstr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>There is more in my badly implemented txtproc.sty.

which breaks in "mere expanding" (TeX evaluates \tempo instead of defining it). An expandable test on emptiness is, e.g. \ifx\$# $\langle n \rangle$ \$, where we hope that it becomes \iftrue just if macro argument # $\langle n \rangle$  is empty indeed. However, "usually" it may also become \iftrue when # $\langle n \rangle$  starts with \$—if the latter has category code 3 ("math shift"). But fifinddo does not assign category code 3 to any character from the input file! Therefore \iftx\$# $\langle n \rangle$ \$ is \iftrue exactly if # $\langle n \rangle$  is empty.

3. You can avoid interference with packages that are needed for typesetting. You can do the "preprocessing" in one run with typesetting, but you should do the preprocessing before you load packages needed for typesetting. One may even try to keep the macros and settings for preprocessing local to a group.

Once there may be an option to read input with some usual TEX category codes as well, it may be useful to (some of)

- avoid matching substrings of control words,
- skip blank spaces as TFX does it usually,
- catch balanced input pieces,
- ignore comments,
- ignore certain characters.

The essential approach of fifinddo to looking for single strings is described in some detail in section 4.

The implementation of fifinddo is as follows. User commands are specially highlighted (boxed/coloured), together with their syntax description.

### 2 Preliminaries

#### 2.1 Head of file (Legalese)

```
%% Macro package 'fifinddo.sty' for LaTeX2e,
                                                     %% FIDO, FIND!
    %% copyright (C) 2009 2010 Uwe L\"uck,
         http://www.contact-ednotes.sty.de.vu
3
    \%\% -- author-maintained in the sense of LPPL below --
4
    %% for processing tex(t) files
5
    %% (checking, filtering, converting, substituting, expanding, ...)
    \def\fileversion{0.4a} \def\filedate{2010/04/04}
    %% This file can be redistributed and/or modified under
10
    %% the terms of the LaTeX Project Public License; either
11
    %% version 1.3a of the License, or any later version.
12
    %% The latest version of this license is in
```

2 PRELIMINARIES

```
%%
14
     %%
            http://www.latex-project.org/lppl.txt
15
     %%
16
     %% We did our best to help you, but there is NO WARRANTY.
17
     %% Please report bugs, problems, and suggestions via
18
     %%
19
20
     %%
          http://www.contact-ednotes.sty.de.vu
21
     %%
     %% For the full documentation, look for 'fifinddo.pdf'.
22
     %% Its source starts in 'fifinddo.tex'.
23
```

#### 2.2 Format and package version

```
24 \NeedsTeXFormat{LaTeX2e}[1994/12/01]
25 % 1994/12/01: \newcommand* etc.
26 \ProvidesPackage{fifinddo}[\filedate\space v\fileversion\space
27 filtering TeX(t) files by TeX (UL)]
```

#### 2.3 Category codes

We use the "underscore" as "compound identifier."

28 \catcode'\\_=11 %% underscore used in control words

\MakeOther is a synonym for \@makeother, needed for matching special characters from the input file. It is exemplified by \fdPatternCodes which is the default of \PatternCodes. The latter is used in setup macros for reading patterns. We offer \SetPatternCodes \{commands\}\] (redefining \PatternCodes) and \ResetPatternCodes \(for returning to \fdPatternCodes\) so setup scripts such as mdoccorr.cfg have shorter lines.

```
29 \@ifundefined{MakeOther}{\let\MakeOther\@makeother}{\}
30 \newcommand*{\fdPatternCodes}{\MakeOther\&\MakeOther\$}
31 \newcommand*{\SetPatternCodes}{\let\PatternCodes\fdPatternCodes}
32 \newcommand*{\ResetPatternCodes}{\let\PatternCodes\fdPatternCodes}
33 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}{\} \ResetPatternCodes
34 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
35 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
36 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
37 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
38 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
39 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
30 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
30 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
30 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
31 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
32 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
33 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
34 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
35 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
36 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
37 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
38 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
39 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
30 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
31 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
32 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
33 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
34 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
35 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
36 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
37 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\fdPatternCodes
38 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}\
```

It would be bad to have \MakeOther\% and \MakeOther\\_ here in that this may have unexpected, weird effects with arguments of setup macros. (With \MakeOther\\_ you must not indent within a setup command, and if you add \MakeOther\% the setup command must stay in one line.) Therefore neither \dospecials nor \Osanitize are used. Curly braces remain untouched as default delimiters in setup macros. For matching them, you must use \MakeOther\{ and \MakeOther in your \PatternCodes, or \Delimiters\ to introduce new ones at the same time, e.g., \Delimiters\[\]:

```
35 \newcommand*{\Delimiters}[2]{%
36 \MakeOther\{\MakeOther\}\catcode'#1=1\catcode'#2=2\relax}
```

For replacing strings or for defining other strings of "other" characters by \edef, you can use some LATEX constructs—here are copies \PercentChar and \BackslashChar of them (do you need more?):

3 FILE HANDLING 8

```
\newcommand*{\PercentChar}{}
                                           \let\PercentChar\@percentchar
37
      \newcommand*{\BackslashChar}{} \let\BackslashChar\@backslashcar
        File handling
     \newwrite\result_file %% or write to \@mainaux!?
  \ResultFile{\langle output \rangle} opens (and empties) a file \langle output \rangle to be written into.
     \newcommand*{\ResultFile}[1]{%
41
        \def\result_file_name{#1}%
        \immediate\openout\result_file=#1}
  [WriteResult{\langle balanced \rangle}] writes a \langle balanced \rangle line into \langle output \rangle (or more lines
     \newcommand*{\WriteResult}[1]{%
        \immediate\write\result_file{#1}}
44
  \WriteProvides | writes a \ProvidesFile command to the opened \( \lambda output \rangle \)
  file. This should be used when \langle output \rangle is made as \LaTeX 2_{\varepsilon} input.
45
     \newcommand*{\WriteProvides}{%
46
        \WriteResult{%
47
          \string\ProvidesFile{\result_file_name}%
48
             [\the\year/\two@digits\month/\two@digits\day\space
49
              automatically generated with fifinddo.sty]}}%
  \P ProcessFileWith\{\langle input \rangle\} \{\langle loop-body \rangle\} opens a file \langle input \rangle and runs a loop
  on its lines the main body of which is \langle loop\text{-}body \rangle. When the \langle loop \rangle starts, a
  new line of \langle input \rangle is stored as macro \fdInputLine.
     \newcommand*{\ProcessFileWith}[2]{%
50
51
        \openin\@inputcheck=#1%
          \ifeof\@inputcheck %% bad 'exists?' test
52
53
             \PackageError{fifinddo}{File '#1' not here}%
                                        {Mistyped?}%
54
55
          \global\c@fdInputLine=\z@ %% line counter reset
56
          \begingroup
57
             \MakeOther\{\MakeOther\}\@sanitize
58
```

% \MakeOther\^^A\MakeOther\^^K%% irrelevant, not LaTeX

%% <- cf. TeXbook "extended keyboards" up-/downarrow

-> "math specials", cf. "space specials"

\MakeOther\^^I% ASCII horizontal tab -- guessed!? ^^L!?

With v3.1, we support non-ASCII:

62

63

%% from docstrip.tex:

\endlinechar\m@ne

```
\count@=128
65
          \loop
66
          \ifnum\count@<\@cclvi
67
            \catcode\count@=12
68
            \advance\count@\@ne
69
70
          \repeat
71
          \loop \ifeof\@inputcheck \else
72
            \read\@inputcheck to \fdInputLine
            \ignorespaces #2%
73
74
          \repeat
        \endgroup
75
76
        \fi
77
      \closein\@inputcheck}
```

78 \newcommand\*{\CloseResultFile}{\immediate\closeout\result\_file}

TODO: write EOF for debugging!?—Peter Wilson's newfile provides more powerful file handling.

TODO move theory to fifinddo.tex 2009/04/12

## 4 Basic handling of substring conditionals

### 4.1 "Substring Theory"

I wished I could study string theory, but I only could study substring theory.

A TEX macro, say, \find whose parameter text (cf. TEXbook p. 203) starts with  $\#1\langle pattern\rangle \#2\&$  stops TEX with an error if it does not find  $\langle pattern\rangle$  and then &. Otherwise we have a situation \find $\langle split1\rangle\langle pattern\rangle\langle split2\rangle\&$ , and \find reads  $\langle split1\rangle$  as #1 and  $\langle split2\rangle$  as #2. An important point to note is that  $\langle split1\rangle$  will not contain  $\langle pattern\rangle$ , but possibly  $\langle pattern\rangle$  has more occurrences in  $\langle split2\rangle$ . In this sense, \find uses the first occurrence of  $\langle pattern\rangle$  it finds in order to delimit #1. Finding the last occurrence of  $\langle pattern\rangle$  therefore needs a special idea.

In order to use \find for a test whether  $\langle pattern \rangle$  is in  $\langle target \rangle$ , we build a "sandbox"  $\boxed{ \text{find} \langle sand \rangle \& }$ , where  $\langle sand \rangle$  contains  $\langle target \rangle$  and additionally  $\langle pattern \rangle$ —as a "dummy;" so & delimits the search and \find finds  $\langle pattern \rangle$  either in  $\langle target \rangle$  or somewhere else before &.

Consider the simple sandbox  $\lceil find\langle target \rangle \langle pattern \rangle \& \rceil$ . We can test #1 and #2 on being empty by  $\lceil fx #1$ \$ and  $\lceil fx #2$ \$. If #2 is empty,  $\langle pattern \rangle$  is not in  $\langle target \rangle$ . If #1 is empty at the same time,  $\langle target \rangle$  is empty. If #1 is empty and #2 is not,  $\langle pattern \rangle$  starts  $\langle target \rangle$ ! This can be used to implement Wikipedia-like lists and to distinguish package code from comments in makedoc.

If #2 is not empty,  $\langle pattern \rangle$  occurs in  $\langle target \rangle$ —or this once was thought, some time in developing the present package, as well as in the version of substr.sty marked 2005–11–29, <sup>14</sup> try (if that version still is installed) <sup>15</sup>

```
\label{lem:linginstring} $$ \If SubStringInString{$\langle str1\rangle\langle str2\rangle\langle str1\rangle} {\langle str1\rangle\langle str2\rangle} {YES}_{NO}$$
```

which works verbatim as well as considering  $\langle str1 \rangle$  and  $\langle str2 \rangle$  placeholders, e.g., for

```
\IfSubStringInString{day_after_day}{day_after_}{YES}{N0}^{16} 
\IfSubStringInString{AMSTERDAM}{AMSTERD}{YES}{N0} 
\IfSubStringInString{TORONTO}{TORON}{YES}{N0} 
\IfSubStringInString{bonbon}{YES}{N0}^{17} 
\IfSubStringInString{bonobo}{bono}{YES}{N0} (an ape)
```

or \IfSubStringInString{ionization}{ionizat}{YES}{NO}. Same with LATEX's internal \inc. 19

\makeatletter\_\\in@{bonbon}{bon}\\ifin@\_\YES\else\_\NO\fi\_\\makeatother

In general, the previous approach fails if and exactly if  $\langle pattern \rangle$  has a period p—less than its length—in the sense of that the pth token to the right or left of each token in  $\langle pattern \rangle$  is the same token. AMSTERDAM has a period 8, day\_after\_day 10, bonbon 3, bonobo 4. There is a counterexample  $\langle target \rangle$  of length p iff  $\langle pattern \rangle$  has period p, namely the first substring of  $\langle pattern \rangle$  having length p. If the length of  $\langle pattern \rangle$  exceeds a multiple mp of its period, the first mp tokens of  $\langle pattern \rangle$  form a counterexample  $\langle target \rangle$ .

Therefore, a sandbox must have something between  $\langle target \rangle$  and  $\langle pattern \rangle$ .<sup>20</sup> We choose  $\P$  as standard. The \$\text{ will be used as an argument delimiter to get rid of the dummy  $\langle pattern \rangle$  in  $\langle split2 \rangle$ , as well as to decide whether the match was in  $\langle target \rangle$  or in the dummy part of the sandbox. The \$\text{ can be replaced by another tilde }^{\text{ in order to test whether } \langle target \rangle ends on a  $\langle pattern \rangle$ , defining a macro like  $\P$  indatend whose parameter text starts with #1 $\langle pattern \rangle$ ^#2&.

 $<sup>^{14} \</sup>rm http://ctan.org/pkg/substr.$  substr does not change category codes as fifinddo does and uses \@ni1 as delimiter instead of our &.

 $<sup>^{15}\</sup>mathrm{The}$  "feature" has been fixed with v1.2 as of 2009/10/20 of substr.sty.

 $<sup>^{16}</sup>$ Likewise t\^ete-\'a-t\^ete ...

 $<sup>^{17}\</sup>mathrm{Polynesian}:$ aku aku, rongorongo, wiki wiki ...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>Read substr.sty or try "normal" things to convince yourself that the syntax indeed is  $\{fsubStringInString\{\langle pattern\rangle\}\{\langle target\rangle\}\{\langle yes\rangle\}\{\langle no\rangle\}\}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>\in@ has been fixed after my warning on Heiko Oberdiek's proposal—at least in the repository.—On 2009/04/21 I learn from Manuel Pégourié-Gonnard that the first versions of his ted had a similar bug, fixed on v1.05 essentially like here; Steven Segletes confirms that his stringstrings doesn't suffer the problem (returning positions of substrings and numbers of occurrences).

 $<sup>^{20} \</sup>rm Must?$  Actually, I preferred this solution to other ideas like measuring the length of  $\langle split2 \rangle.$ 

### 4.2 Plan for proceeding

When we check a file for several patterns, we seem to need *two* macros for each pattern: one that has the pattern in its parameter text and one that stores the pattern for building the sandbox.<sup>21</sup> We use a separate "name space" for each of both kinds. The parsing macro and the macro building the sandbox will have a common "identifier" by which the user or programmer calls them. Actually, she will usually (first) call the sandbox box builder. The sandbox builder calls the parsing macro. When *all* occurrences of a pattern in the target are looked for, the parser may call itself.

Actually, the parsing macro will execute certain actions depending on what it finds in the sandbox, so we call it a "substring conditional". It may read additional arguments after the sandbox that store information gathered before. This is especially useful for designing "expandable" chains (sequences) of conditionals where macros cannot store information in macros. The macro setting up the sandbox will initialize such extra arguments at the same time.

It may be more efficient *not* to use the following setup macros but to type the macros yourself, just using the following as templates. The setup macros are especially useful with patterns that contain "special characters," as when you are looking for lines that might be package comments.

### 4.3 Meta-Setup

A setup command  $\langle setup\text{-}cmd \rangle$  will have the following syntax:

```
[\langle setup\text{-}cmd \rangle \{\langle job\text{-}id \rangle\} [\langle changes \rangle] \{\langle pattern \rangle\} \langle more\text{-}args \rangle]
```

 $\langle changes \rangle$  will, in the first instance, be category code changes for reading  $\langle pattern \rangle$  overriding the settings in \PatternCodes. They are executed after the latter in a local group. It may be safer to redefine \PatternCodes instead of using the optional  $\langle changes \rangle$  argument.

A macro

shared by setup commands may read  $\langle job{-}id \rangle$  and  $\langle changes \rangle$  for  $\langle setup{-}cmd \rangle$ .  $\boxed{\langle do{-}setup \rangle}$  will be the macro that reads  $\langle pattern \rangle$  (and more) and processes it. It must contain \endgroup to match \begingroup from \FD\_prepare\_pattern.  $\langle job{-}id \rangle$  is stored in a macro \fdParserId. The default for  $\langle changes \rangle$  is nothing.

```
79 \newcommand*{\StartFDsetup}[1]{%
80 \let\FD_do_setup#1%
81 \afterassignment\FD_prepare_pattern
82 \def\fdParserId}
83 \newcommand*{\FD_prepare_pattern}[1][]{%
84 \begingroup \PatternCodes #1\FD_do_setup}
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>If it were for the pattern only, the parsing macro might suffice and the macro calling it might extract the pattern from a "dummy expansion." Somewhat too much for me now; on the other hand the calling macro also hands some "current" informations to the parsing macro—oh, even this could be handled by a general "calling" macro . . .

So  $\langle setup\text{-}cmd \rangle$  should be set up about as follows:

 $\langle do\text{-}setup \rangle$ 's first argument will be the  $\langle pattern \rangle$  argument of  $\langle setup\text{-}cmd \rangle$ .

#### 4.4 Setup for conditionals

substr\_cond is the "name space" for substring conditionals. A colon separates it from "job identifiers" in the actual macro names.

85 \def\substr\_cond{substr\_cond:}

[\MakeSubstringConditional{\langle} [\langle changes \rangle] {\langle pattern \rangle}] starts the definition of a conditional with identifier  $\langle id \rangle$  and pattern  $\langle pattern \rangle$ .  $\langle changes \rangle$  optionally add commands to be executed after \PatternCodes in a local group.

```
86 \newcommand*{\MakeSubstringConditional}
87 {\StartFDsetup\mk_substr_cond}
```

\begingroup \\mk\_substr\_cond{ $\langle pattern \rangle$ } can be directly called by other programmer setup commands when \fdParserId and  $\langle pattern \rangle$  have been read.

```
88 \def\mk_substr_cond #1{%% #1 pattern string
89 \endgroup \@namedef{\substr_cond \fdParserId}##1#1##2&}
```

This really is not LATEX. We are starting defining a macro \substr\_cond:  $\langle id \rangle$  in primitive TeX with \def in the form

```
\def\substr\_cond: \langle id \rangle \#1 \langle pattern \rangle \#2\&
```

where \csname etc. render ':  $\langle id \rangle$ ' part of the macro name. The user or programmer macro produces the part of the definition until the delimiter & to match the sandbox. You have to add (maybe) #3 etc. and the  $\{\langle definition\text{-}text\rangle\}$  just as with primitive  $T_FX$ .

#### 4.5 Setup for sandboxes

There was a question: will we rather see string macros or strings from macro arguments? The input file content always comes as \fdInputLine first, so we at least must account for the possibility of string macros as input.

One easy way to apply several checks and substitutions to \fdInputLine before the result is written to \( output \) is \let\OutputString\fdInputLine and then let \( OutputString \) be to what each job refers as its input and output, finally \\WriteResult{\OutputString}. (\fdInputLine might better not be touched, it could be used for a final test whether any change applied for some message on screen, even with an entirely expandable chain of actions.) This way each job, indeed each recursive substitution of a single string must start with expanding \OutputString.

On the other hand, there is the idea of "expandable" chains of substitutions. We may, e.g., define a macro, say, \manysubstitutions{\macro-name}}, such that \WriteResult{\manysubstitutions{\fdInputLine}} writes to \(\cappattautput\) the result of applying many expandable substitutions to \fdInputLine. Such a macro \manysubstitutions may read \fdInputLine, but it must not redefine any macros. Instead, the substitution macros it calls must read results of previous substitutions as arguments.

Another aspect: the order of substitutions should be easy to change. Therefore expanding of string macros should rather be controlled by the way a job is called, not right here at the definition of the job. For this reason, a variant of the sandbox builder expanding some macro was given up.

setup\_substr\_cond is the name space for macros that build sandboxes and initialize arguments for conditional macros.

90 \def\setup\_substr\_cond{setup\_substr\_cond:}

```
\MakeSetupSubstringCondition{\langle id \rangle} [\langle changes \rangle] {\langle pattern \rangle} {\langle more-args \rangle}
```

—same  $\langle id \rangle$ ,  $\langle changes \rangle$ ,  $\langle pattern \rangle$  as for \MakeSubstringConditional (this is bad, there may be \MakeSubstringConditional\*\{\lambda more-args\}\)—creates the corresponding sandbox, by default without tilde wrap.  $\langle more-args \rangle$  may contain \{\pi\} to store the string that was tested, also \{\lambda id \rangle}\} for calling repetitions and \{\lambda pattern \rangle}\} for screen or log informations.

```
91 \newcommand*{\MakeSetupSubstringCondition}
92 {\StartFDsetup\mk_setup_substr_cond}
```

\\[ \mk\_setup\_substr\_cond{\langle pattern\rangle} \{\langle more-args\rangle} \] can be directly called by other programmer setup commands after \fdParserId and \langle pattern\rangle have been read:

```
93 \def\mk_setup_substr_cond #1#2{%% #1 pattern string,
94 %% #2 additional arguments, e.g., '{#1}' to keep tested string
95 \endgroup
96 \expandafter \edef
97 \csname \setup_substr_cond \fdParserId \endcsname ##1{%
98 \make_not_expanding_cs{\substr_cond \fdParserId}%
```

By \edef, the name of the substring conditional is stored here as a single token. The rest of the sandbox follows.

```
99 ##1\noexpand~#1\dollar_tilde&#2}%
100 \let\dollar_tilde\sandbox_dollar}
```

If a tilde ~ has been used instead of \$, the default is restored.

```
101 \def\sandbox_dollar{$}
102 \let\dollar_tilde\sandbox_dollar
```

The following general tool \make\_not\_expanding\_cs has been used (many definitions in latex.ltx could have used it):

```
103 \def\make_not_expanding_cs#1{%
104 \expandafter \noexpand \csname #1\endcsname}
```

### 4.6 Getting rid of the tildes

\leftarrow\text{TildeGobbles} can be used to suppress dummy patterns (contained in  $\langle split2\rangle$ ) in \writeing or with \edef. ... will probably become obsolete ... however, it is helpful in that you needn't care whether there is a dummy wrap left at all. (2009/04/13)

105 \newcommand{\TildeGobbles}{} \def\TildeGobbles#1\${}

RemoveDummyPattern is used to remove the dummy pattern *immediately*, not waiting for \writeing or other "total" expansion:

 $106 \qquad \verb|\newcommand{\RemoveDummyPattern}{} \ \ \def\RemoveDummyPattern#1~#2${\#1}}$ 

\[ \RemoveDummyPatternArg\\ \(macro\) \{\langle arg\}\\ \] executes \[ \RemoveDummyPattern in the next argument: \]

108 \expandafter #1\expandafter {\RemoveDummyPattern #2}}

\[
\begin{aligned} \text{RemoveTilde} \\ \text{is used to remove the tilde that separated the dummy pattern from \$\langle split1 \rangle\$.

```
109 % %% An alternative policy is to pass
```

- 110 % %% <target> (as an argument) to the parsing macro.
- 111 \newcommand{\RemoveTilde}{} \def\RemoveTilde#1~{#1}

 $\boxed{\texttt{NemoveTildeArg}\langle macro\rangle\{\langle arg\rangle\}} \text{ executes } \texttt{NemoveTilde} \text{ in the next argument:}$ 

```
112 \newcommand*{\RemoveTildeArg}[2]{%
```

113 \expandafter #1\expandafter {\RemoveTilde #2}}

#### 4.7 Calling conditionals

[\ProcessStringWith{\langle}target-string\]}{\langle}f\langle\did\] builds the sandbox to search  $\langle target\text{-}string \rangle$  for the  $\langle pattern \rangle$  associated with the parser-conditional that is identified by  $\langle id \rangle$ , the sandbox then calls the parser.

```
114 \newcommand*{\ProcessStringWith}[2]{%
```

115 \csname \setup\_substr\_cond #2\endcsname{#1}}

 $\ProcessExpandedWith{\langle string-macro \rangle}{\langle id \rangle}$  does the same but with a macro (like \fdInputLine or \OutputString) in which the string to be tested is stored.

```
116 \newcommand*{\ProcessExpandedWith}[2]{%
```

- 117 \csname \setup\_substr\_cond #2\expandafter \endcsname
- 118 \expandafter{#1}}

I would have preferred the reversed order of arguments which seems to be more natural, but the present is more efficient. Macros with reversed order are currently stored after \endingut in section 8, may be they once return.

Anyway, most desired will be  $\P$  processInputWith $\{\langle id \rangle\}$  just applying to fdInputLine:

#### 4.8 Copy jobs

A job identifier  $\langle id \rangle$  may also be considered a mere hook, a placeholder for a parsing job. What function actually is called may depend on conditions that change while reading the  $\langle input \rangle$  file.  $[CopyFDconditionFromTo\{\langle id1 \rangle\}\{\langle id2 \rangle\}]$  creates or redefines a sandbox builder with identifier  $\langle id2 \rangle$  that afterwards behaves like the sandbox builder  $\langle id1 \rangle$ . So you can store a certain behaviour as  $\langle id1 \rangle$  in advance in order once to change the behaviour of  $\langle id2 \rangle$  into that of  $\langle id1 \rangle$ .

```
123 \newcommand*{\CopyFDconditionFromTo}[2]{%
124 \expandafter \let
125 \csname \setup_substr_cond #2\expandafter \endcsname
126 \csname \setup_substr_cond #1\endcsname}
```

(Only the sandbox is copied here—what about changing conditionals?)

An "almost" example is typesetting documentation from a package file where the "Legalese" header might be typeset verbatim although it is marked as "comment." (The present example changes "hand-made" macros instead.)

This feature could have been placed more below as a "programming tool."

# 5 Programming tools

#### 5.1 Tails of conditionals

When creating complex expandable conditionals, this may amount to have primitive  $\ifnext{if} \dots \fi$  conditionals nested quite deeply, once perhaps too deep for  $T_EX$ 's memory. To avoid this, you can apply the common  $\ensuremath{\texttt{expandafter}}$  trick which finishes the current  $\ifnext{if} \dots \fi$  before an inside macro is executed (cf.  $T_EX$ book p. 219 on "tail recursion").

Internally tests whether certain strings are present at certain places will be carried out by tests on emptiness or on starting with  $\tilde{\ }$ . E.g., "#1 =  $\langle split1 \rangle$  empty" indicates that either the  $\langle pattern \rangle$  starts a line or the line is empty altogether (this must be decided by another test).

[\IfFDempty{ $\langle arg \rangle$ }{ $\langle when\text{-}empty \rangle$ }{ $\langle when\text{-}not\text{-}empty \rangle$ }] is used to test  $\langle arg \rangle$  on emptyness (without expanding it):

```
127 \newcommand*{\IfFDempty}[1]{%

128 \ifx$#1$\expandafter \@firstoftwo \else

129 \expandafter \@secondoftwo \fi}
```

\[ \IfFDinputEmpty{\langle when-empty\rangle} \{\langle when-empty\rangle} \} \] is a variant of the previous to execute \( \langle when-empty \rangle \) if the loop processing \( \langle input \rangle \) finds an empty line—otherwise \( \langle when-not-empty \rangle \).

```
130 \newcommand*{\lifFDinputEmpty}{%

131 \ifx\fdInputLine\@empty \expandafter \@firstoftwo \else

132 \expandafter \@secondoftwo \fi}
```

\[ \IfFDdollar{\langle} \{\langle when-empty\}\{\langle when-not-empty\}\} \] is another variant, testing \langle split2\rangle for being \$, main indicator of there is a match anywhere in \langle target\rangle \( \text{(as opposed to starting or ending match)}: \]

```
133 \newcommand*{\IffDdollar}[1]{%

134 \ifx$#1\expandafter \@firstoftwo \else

135 \expandafter \@secondoftwo \fi}
```

It is exemplified and explained in section 6. (The whole policy requires that ~ remains active in any testing macros here!)

However, you might always just type the replacement text (in one line) instead of such an  $\backslash If \dots$  (for efficiency  $\dots$ )

If expandability is not desired, you can just chain macros that rework (so re-define) **\OutputString** or so.

2009/04/11: tending towards combining . . . Keeping empty input and empty arguments apart is useful in that *one* test of emptiness per input line should suffice—it may be left open whether this should be the first of all tests . . .

#### 5.2 Line counter

A LATEX counter fdInputLine may be useful for screen or log messages, moreover you can use it to control processing of the  $\langle input \rangle$  file "from outside," not dependent on what the parsing macros find. The header of the file might be typeset verbatim, but we may be too lazy to define the "header" in terms of what is in the file. We just decide that the first . . . lines are the "header," even without counting just trying whether the output is fine. It may be necessary to change that number manually when the header changes.

You also can insert lines in  $\langle output \rangle$  which have no counterpart in  $\langle input \rangle$ —if you know what you are doing. With makedoc, there is a hook \EveryComment that can be used to issue commands "from outside" at a place where executing the command is safe or appropriate.

#### 136 \newcounter{fdInputLine}

You then must insert \[ \CountInputLines \] in the second argument of \ProcessFileWith (or in a macro called from there) so that the counter is stepped.

137 \newcommand\*{\CountInputLines}{\global\advance\c@fdInputLine\@ne}

At present the counter is reset by  $\ProcessFileWith$ , this may change.  $\IfInputLine{\langle relation\rangle\langle number\rangle}{\langle true\rangle}{\langle false\rangle}$ , when called from the processing loop (second argument of  $\ProcessFileWith$ ) issues  $\langle true\rangle$  commands if  $\value{fdInputLine}\langle relation\rangle\langle number\rangle$  is true, otherwise  $\langle false\rangle$ .  $\langle relation\rangle$  is one out of  $\langle . = . \rangle$ .

```
138 \newcommand*{\IfInputLine}[1]{%
139 \ifnum\c@fdInputLine#1\relax \expandafter \@firstoftwo
140 \else \expandafter \@secondoftwo \fi}
```

### 5.3 The "identity job" LEAVE

The job with identifier **LEAVE** leaves an (expandable) chain of jobs (as expandable replacement in section 6) and leaves the processed string without changing it and without the braces enclosing it:

```
141 \expandafter \let
142 \csname \setup_substr_cond LEAVE\endcsname \Offirstofone
```

I.e.,  $\P$  cossStringWith $\{\langle string \rangle\}$  {LEAVE} expands to  $\langle string \rangle$  ... (Indeed!)

# 6 Setup for expandable chains of replacements

By the following means, you can create macros (\Transform among them) such that, e.g.,

```
\ensuremath{\texttt{Voring}}\
```

renders \OutputString the result of applying a chain (sequence) of stringwise replacements to \( \string \). You can even write a transformed input \( \string \) to a file without defining anything anything after \read\_to... In this case however, you don't get any statistical message about what happened or not. With \edef\OutputString you can at least issue some changed! or left! (maybe \message{!} vs. \message{.}). There is an application in makedoc for "typographical upgrading" from plain text to TFX input.

\repl\_all\_chain\_expandable will be the backbone of the replacements. It is called by some parsing macro  $\langle parser \rangle$  and receives from the latter  $\langle split1 \rangle = \#1$  and  $\langle split2 \rangle = \#2$ . #3 is the result of what happened so far.

The previous line would be somewhat faster, but let us exemplify \IffDdollar from section 5.1 instead:

```
147 \IfFDdollar{#2}%
```

148

If #2 starts with \$—with category code 3, "math shift"!, it is \$, due to not reading \$ from input with its standard category code 3 and the sandbox construction (where \$ appears with its standard category code). And this is the case exactly when the  $\langle pattern \rangle$  from  $\langle parser \rangle$  didn't match, again due to the input category codes. Now on no match, the sandbox builder #6 is called with target string #3#1 where the last tested string is attached to previous results. The ending  $\tilde{}$  is removed, #6 inserts a new wrap for the new dummy pattern.

```
{\RemoveTildeArg #6{#3#1}}%
```

Otherwise ... the sandbox builder  $\langle sandbox \rangle$  (that will be shown below) that called  $\langle parser \rangle$  initialized #5 to be that  $\langle parser \rangle$  itself.  $(\langle parser \rangle$  otherwise wouldn't know who it is.) So  $\langle parser \rangle$  calls itself with another sandbox #2&. Note that #2 contains '~ $\langle pattern \rangle$ \$' due to the initial  $\langle sandbox \rangle$  building.

#### 149 {#5#2&{#3#1#4}{#4}#5#6}}

#4 is the replacement string that  $\langle sandbox \rangle$  passed to  $\langle parse \rangle$ . The first argument after the & is previous stuff plus the recently skipped  $\langle split1 \rangle$  plus #4 replacing the string  $\langle pattern \rangle$  that was matched.

Finally, #5 and #6 again "recall"  $\langle parser \rangle$  and the sandbox builder to which to change in case of no other match.

```
[\MakeExpandableAllReplacer{\langle id \rangle}] \{\langle chng \rangle] \{\langle find \rangle\} \{\langle replace \rangle\} \{\langle id\text{-}next \rangle\}\}
```

creates sandbox and parser with common identifier  $\langle id \rangle$  and search pattern  $\langle find \rangle$ . Each occurrence of  $\langle find \rangle$  will be replaced by  $\langle replace \rangle$ . When  $\langle find \rangle$  is not found, the sandbox builder for  $\langle id\text{-}next \rangle$  is called. This may be another replacing macro of the same kind. To return the result without further transformations, call job LEAVE (section 5.3). Optional argument  $\langle chng \rangle$  changes category codes locally for reading  $\langle find \rangle$  and  $\langle replace \rangle$ .

```
150 \newcommand*{\MakeExpandableAllReplacer}
151 {\StartFDsetup\mk_setup_xpdbl_all_repl}
152 \newcommand*{\mk_setup_xpdbl_all_repl}[3]{%
153 %% #1 pattern, #2 substitute, #3 pass to
154 \endgroup
```

We take pains to call next jobs by single command strings and store them this way, not by \csname, as \ProcessStringWith would do it. \edef\@tempa is used for this purpose, but ...

That \edef\@tempa must not expand the controll words after they have been computed from \csname etc. Moreover, expansion of the parser commands must be avoided another time, when \@tempa is executed.

```
159 \make_not_expanding_cs{\substr_cond\fdParserId}%

160 \noexpand\noexpand

161 \make_not_expanding_cs{\setup_substr_cond #3}}}%
```

Those internal setup commands start with \endgroup to switch back to standard category codes. We must match them here by \begingroup.

```
162 \begingroup \@tempa
163 \begingroup \mk_substr_cond{#1}{%
164 \repl_all_chain_expandable{##1}{##2}}}
```

The final command is the one that we explained first.

```
\label{eq:prependential} $$\operatorname{PrependExpandableAllReplacer}(\langle id \rangle) [\langle cat \rangle] {\langle find \rangle} {\langle replace \rangle}$
```

is hoped to be a slight relief in composing replacement chains. It does something like invoking \MakeExpandableAllReplacer with  $\langle prev\text{-}setup\text{-}id \rangle$  for the last  $\langle next\text{-}id \rangle$  argument where  $\langle prev\text{-}setup\text{-}id \rangle$  is the  $\langle id \rangle$  of the job that was set up most recently. If you have adjacent lines

and call  $\langle id-2 \rangle$ , it will call  $\langle id-1 \rangle$ , and the latter will call  $\langle id-\theta \rangle$ . So you can reorder the chain my moving **\Prepend**... lines.

 $\mbox{\correctHook}{\langle string \rangle}$  belongs to makedoc, but in the meantime (nicetext release 0.3) I have proposed to use it with fifinddo only as well (running files fdtxttex.tpl, fdtxttex.tex). Therefore I offer some simplification  $\mbox{\correctHookJob}{\langle job-id \rangle}$  for defining  $\mbox{\correctHook}$  here.

```
170 \newcommand*{\SetCorrectHookJob}[1]{%
171 \def\MakeDocCorrectHook##1{\ProcessStringWith{##1}{#1}}}
```

\SetCorrectHookJobLast just uses the job that was set up most recently.

```
172 \newcommand*{\SetCorrectHookJobLast}
173 {\SetCorrectHookJob\fdParserId}
```

# 7 Leave package mode

We restore the underscore  $\underline{\ }$  for math subscripts. (This might better depend on something  $\dots)$ 

```
174 \catcode'\_=8 %% restores underscore use for subscripts \tag{75} \endinput
```

TEX ignores the rest of the file when it is *input* "in the sense of \input", as opposed to just reading the file line by line to a macro like \fdInputLine.

### 8 Pondered

```
%% TODO abbreviated commands (aliases) \MkSubstrCond...
176
177
       %% TODO \@onlypreamble!?
     \newcommand*{\ApplySubstringConditional}[1]{%
178
       %% #1 identifier; text to be searched expected next
179
       \csname setup_substr_cond:#1\endcsname}
180
     \newcommand*{\ApplySubstringConditionalToExpanded}[1]{% 2009/03/31+
181
182
       \csname setup_substr_cond:#1\expandafter \endcsname \expandafter}
     \newcommand*{\ApplySubstringConditionalToInputString}[1]{% 2009/03/31+
183
184
       \csname setup_substr_cond:#1\expandafter \endcsname
         \expandafter {\fdInputLine}}
185
       %% TODO or '\OutputString', even '\read' to '\OutputString'!?
186
```

```
% \newcommand*{\ApplySubstringConditionalToExpanded}[2]{%
187
                                  %% note: without assignments, robust!
188
                                  \ensuremath{\text{\%}} BUT the '\csname ... \expandafter \endcsname' method is faster
189
                                  \expandafter \reversed_apply_substr_cond
190
                                          \expandafter {#2}{#1}}
191
192
                   % \newcommand*{\reversed_apply_substr_cond}[2]{%
193
                                  \ApplySubstringConditional{#2}{#1}}
                           %% ODER:
194
                   \% \ensuremath{\mbox{\mbox{$\%$}}} \ensuremath{\mbox{\mbox{\mbox{$\%$}}}} \ensuremath{\mbox{\mbox{$\%$}}} \ensuremath{\mbox{\m
195
                                  %% #1 command with previous args, TODO cf. LaTeX3
196
                                   \ensuremath{\verb| (attach_arg (expandafter {#1}{#2})|}
197
                                   %% actually #1 may contain more than one token,
198
                                   %% only first expanded
199
200
                   % \newcommand*{\attach_arg}[2]{#2{#1}}
                   % \newcommand*{\ApplySubstringConditionalToExpanded}[2]{%
201
                                   \expandafter \attach_arg \expandafter
202
203
                   %
                                            \{\#2\} \{ \texttt{ApplySubstringConditional} \{\#1\} \} \}
```

## 9 VERSION HISTORY

~ ~ .	^ 4	0000 /04 /00	
204	v0.1		very first version, tested on morgan.sty
205	v0.2	2009/04/05	counter fdInputLine, \ProvidesFile moved from
206			\ProcessFile to \ResultFile, \CopyFD,
207			category section first, more sectioning,
208			suppressing empty code lines before section
209			titles; discussion, \Delimiters
210		2009/04/06	more discussion
211		2009/04/07	more discussion, factored $\W$ riteProvides out from
212			\ResultFile, \ProcessExpandedWith corrected
213		2009/04/08	<pre>\InputString -&gt; \fdInputline;</pre>
214			removed \ignorespaces
215		2009/04/09	\WhenInputLine[2] -> \IfInputline[3],
216			\ProcessInputWith, typos,
217			\WriteProvides message 'with'
218		2009/04/10	\make_not_expanding_cs
219			DISCOVERED ''IF SUBSTRING" ALGORITHM WRONG
220			( <str1><str2><str1> in <str1><str2>)</str2></str1></str1></str2></str1>
221	v0.3	2009/04/11	SOME THINGS GIVEN UP EARLIER WILL BE REMOVED,
222			TO BE STORED IN THE COPY AS OF 2009/04/10
223			mainly: sandbox setup (tilde/dollar)
224			REAL ADDITION: setup for expandable replacing
225		2009/04/12	played with 'chain' vs. 'sequence';
226			plain '', 'cf.', 'etc.' for 'mdcorr.cfg'
227		2009/04/13	\RemoveTilde
228		2009/04/15	reworked text, same mistake \in0
229	v0.31	2009/04/21f.	comments on ted, stringstrings
230		2009/12/28	"onwards)" !? "safer", not "more safe"
231		2010/03/10	the loop starts
232		2010/03/17	corr. t^ete; set up -> setup for

233		2010/03/18	TODO EOF, ctan.org/pkg/newfile; non-ASCII
234		2010/03/19	<pre>extended description of \MakeExpandableAll;</pre>
235			· · -> "
236		2010/03/20	\ctanpkgref
237		2010/03/22	\StartFDsetup, \Prepend
238		2010/03/23	URL for 'substr.sty'
239	SENT 7	TO CTAN	
240			
241	v0.4	2010/03/24	removed \pagebreak before "substrings";
242			<relation> with \IfInputLine precisely</relation>
243		2010/03/25	todo \ProcessExp more precisely, etc.
244		2010/03/26	was wrong, removed
245		2010/03/29	\SetPatternCodes, \ResetPatternCodes,
246			\SetCorrectHookJob, \SetCorrectHookJobLast;
247			<relation> with \HardNVerb;</relation>
248			don't mention \begingroup with
249			\mk_setup_substr_cond; renamed v0.4
250	v0.4a	2010/04/04	copyright 2010
251			
252	TODO:	cleveref 2010	/03/18
253			